



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addrest COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1430 Alexandria, Vaginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/942,386	08/30/2001	Masud Beroz	TESSERA 3.0-176 DIV	1049		
530 7	590 08/15/2003					
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			EXAMINER			
	VENUE WEST	•	TALBOT,	TALBOT, BRIAN K		
WESTFIELD,	NJ 07090		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1762			

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

				<u></u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V		
Office Action Summary		09/942,386	BEROZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brian K Talbot	1762	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	; 		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material process.	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>G</u>	<u>09 June 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims			rits is		
·	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	tion				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)						
<i>'</i> —	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	·				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ d	isapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No			
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	е		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		lication)		
	a) The translation of the foreign language	•				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom					
Attachmen	nt(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152			

Application/Control Number: 09/942,386 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

1. The amendment filed 6/9/03 has been considered and entered. Claims 1-10 remain in the application with claims 5-10 being withdrawn from prosecution as being directed toward a non-elected invention.

- 2. This application contains claims 5-10 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 4. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. In light of the amendment filed 6/9/03, the 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejection has been withdrawn. The 35 USC 103 rejection over Dibble et al. (5,316,788) has also been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carey et al. (5,597,469) in combination with DiStefano et al. (6,324,754 B1).

Carey et al. (5,597,469) teaches applying solder through a soldermask to a substrate having solder-wettable pads. The solder is reflowed and solidified to form the contact. Carey et al. (5,597,469) teaches applying solder-wettable material to the soldermask prior to applying the

Art Unit: 1762

solder thereby forming a soldermask having both solder-wettable areas and non solder-wettable areas. Carey et al. (5,597,469) teaches non-solder wettable metal films such as chromium can be used as mask (16) (col. 6, lines 35-45).

Page 3

Carey et al. (5,597,469) fails to teach cooling the solder to solidify to solder into a solder ball.

DiStefano et al. (6,324,754 B1) teaches cooling applied solder to solidify in solder balls.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Carey et al. (5,597,469) process by cooling the applied solder as evidenced by DiStefano et al. (6,324,754 B1) with the expectation of achieving similar results.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/9/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the references failed to teach a "electrically conductive plane element", i.e. the masks were dielectric.

The Examiner agrees in part. While the reference does teach a polymer solder mask, as noted above, Carey et al. (5,597,469) suggests that metal mask that are non-solder wettable can be used as well.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,386

Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Talbot whose telephone number is (703) 305-3775. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,386

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3775.

Brian K Talbot
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1762

Page 5

BKT

August 15, 2003